Future Rechargables

Lithium-Sulfur Battery: Present Status and Future Direction

K. Sandeep Rao, Dipa Dutta Pathak and Balaji P. Mandal*

Chemistry Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai 400085, INDIA



Introduction

The ever-increasing demand to store electrochemical energy efficiently spurred the continued research interest in advanced batteries. The traditional lead acid or nickel cadmium batteries are found to be insufficient to cater the present needs due to lower energy density, power density, cycling etc of these batteries. On the other hand, lithium ion batteries (LIBs) have many superior attributes which make them a dominating player in battery market. In addition, conventional LIBs do not suffer from memory effect. The self-discharge rate of lithium ion battery is also very low for LIBs (~5% per month)in comparison to Ni-Cd or Ni-MH batteries. The global reserve of lithium in earth crust is around 20 ppm which is sufficient to build more than 12 billion electric vehicles[1]. Therefore, lithium based batteries have become the central focus of researchers globally. Even though Li-ion batteries have higher energy density than sodium ion battery still they are not very suitable to be installed in electric vehicles. The energy density of LIB can be 200-300 Wh/kg, which cannot satisfy all the requirements of upcoming applications like vehicle electrification or high end electronic application. These new demands have been prompting the researchers to work on other alternative rechargeable batteries with higher energy density and longer life cycle. Among other battery chemistries, Li-S is most important candidate due to its low cost, high theoretical capacity (1675 mAh g⁻¹) with a high theoretical specific energy of 2600 Wh kg⁻¹, on the presumption of the complete reaction of lithium with sulfur to form Li₂S[2,3].

The theoretical capacity of S is more than five times higher than that of traditional cathode materials based on transition metal oxides or phosphates. Another important aspect of Li-S battery is its low operating voltage (~ 2.1 V) which offer higher safety margin over the high-voltage oxide-

basedcathodes (>4.3 V). It becomes more important in case large pouch cell configuration.

Working Principal of Li-S Battery

Like any other secondary cell, Li-S battery (LSB) also consists of cathode (where reduction takes place during discharge), anode (where oxidation takes place during discharge), electrolyte (through which ions migrates from one electrode to another internally) and a separator (to avoid physical contact between two electrodes). The working principle of a typical Li-S battery is slightly different from conventional lithium ion battery. In conventional LIBs, lithium ions move from lithium containing cathode to anode via electrolyte during charging process and in reverse direction during discharging process. However, in case of LSB, the cells are made in charged state and initially discharging is done. During discharging, lithium ion moves from lithium anode to sulfur (or sulfur composite) cathode via separator soaked in electrolyte and reduce S to Li₂S via a multi-step, multi-electron reduction process. In charging process, Li₂S gets oxidized to S and releases Li ions which migrate to lithium anode again via electrolyte. Interestingly, the reduction of sulfur (S₈) to Li₂S takes place in multiple steps.

$$S_8 + 2Li^* + 2e = Li_2S_8$$

 $3Li_2S_8 + 2Li^* + 2e = 4Li_2S$
 $2Li_2S_6 + 2Li^* + 2e = 3Li_2S$
 $Li_2S_4 + 2Li^* + 2e = 2Li_2S_2$
 $Li_3S_4 + 2Li^* + 2e = 2Li_2S$

Initially higher polysulfides (Li_2S_n , x=8, 6, 5 and 4) form on reaction between lithium and sulphur which on further lithiation results lower polysulfides (Li_2S_n n=1,2). The higher polysulfide (Li_2S_n , x=8, 6, 5 and 4) are highly soluble in organic solvent (mostly 1,3-dioxolane and 1,2-dimethoxyethane are

^{*}Author for Correspondence: Balaji P. Mandal E-mail: bpmandal@barc.gov.in



Fig.1: Schematic drawing of Li-S battery.

used as solvent) used as electrolyte in LIB. On the other hand, the lower polysulfides (Li₂S_x, 1 < x < 4) are insoluble and hence remain in solid state. The rate of reaction is faster in initial phase of lithiation at the solid-liquid interface, however, it is slower in second step as lithiation takes place on solid Li₂S₂. The voltage plateau for first reaction i.e. formation of S₄²⁻ from S₈ via above mentioned steps is observed around 2.3 V with corresponding capacity 418 mAhg⁻¹ (~25% of total capacity). The lower discharge plateau occurs at 2.1 V (vs Li/Li⁺) corresponding to subsequent reduction of Li₂S₄ to Li₂S₂/Li₂S, with a theoretical capacity of 1254 mAhg⁻¹(~75% of total capacity).

Challenges Ahead

Even though there are myriad of advantages of Li-S batteries, still the following challenges need to be addressed before its large-scale applications.

- (a) High Resistivity of Sulfur and Li_2S .
- (b) Shuttle Effect of Polysulfides.

© Huge Volume Expansion/Contraction during Lithiation/Delithiation.

(d) Use of Metallic Lithium Anode.

These problems are related to either electrodes or electrolyte and suitable modification of these components can improve the overall performance of Li-S battery. In the following sections highlight the important research on cathode, anode and electrolyte materials.

Research on Cathode Materials

Mostly, elemental sulfur is used as cathode material in Li-Sulfur battery. Sulfur has more than 30 allotropes and the most common and stable amongst them is cyclic octasulfur (S₈)[4]. The electronic conductivity of elemental sulfur is very low (10^{30} Scm⁻¹), therefore, it needs to be made composite with conducting material like carbon. Several methods have been adopted so far by different groups to make sulfur-carbon composites. Initially conventional carbons or carbon black were added with sulfur to make it conducting. These carbon blacks are mostly fabricated by thermal cracking or partial combustion of carbon precursors, such as natural gas, at elevated temperatures and in an inert atmosphere or an inadequate air supply. Graphite, bio-derived carbon are also

potential members in the list which can also increase the conductivity of the composite significantly. Typically, sulfur is vaporized in presence of these carbon hosts and then cooled down. Zhang et al. suggested to remove the sulfur on the outer surface in carbon host by heating it[5]. The loading of sulfur depends on porosity present in the matrix which in turn dictates the performance of the cathode. The optimal pore size required for excellent performance in Li-S batteries is not fully understood. However, a rough guideline might be deduced: (a) mesoporous and macroporous carbon (pore size more than 2 nm) are good in sulfur loading but poor in cycling stability; (b) small micropores (less than 0.5 nm) are advantageous in utilization of sulfur and good cycling stability but it has limitation in sulfur loading on it; (c) large micropores (i.e. 0.5 nm to 2 nm) are found to be non-suitable for designing the sulfur-carbon composite[6].

Another candidate with which composite can be made efficiently is carbon nanotubes (CNT). Cheng et al.[7] demonstrated loading of elemental sulfur on CNT by crushing the sulfur powder in ball mill along with CNT. The CNT bundles were acting as conducting medium in those heavily sulfur loaded CNTs. Since sulfur particles were on top of the nanotubes, cycleability of the composite was poor even though the interconnected CNTs provide a robust conductive network. The group observed a discharge capacity of around 560 mAhg ¹. Free standing 3D graphene were fabricated by Papandrea et al.[8] on which 90 wt% sulfur could be loaded. These interconnected graphene network ensured superior electronic conductivity and the pores therein allowed extra loading of sulfur. The electrolyte also could seep into the structure which helps in migration of Li ion. However, the structure could also trap the polysulfides form during lithiation reaction. This sulfur and 3D graphene composite is capable to deliver a high discharge capacity of 969 mAh g⁻¹. Lu and co-workers 9prepared a self-supported graphene sponges using hydrothermal reduction followed by freeze dying. The conductivity of 3D GO sponge is very high and the carbon network can support the conductivity whereas sulfur can be incorporated inside carbon pores. The pores present in GO sponge also ensure electrolyte percolation, which essentially improve sulfur utilization. Interestingly, the areal mass loading in this kind of structure is around 12 mg sulfur/cm² which is more than commercial requirement. In this case high aerial capacity of the cathode could be achieved. Moreover, the authors observed slow decay rate (0.08% per cycle at 0.1C) after 300 cycles. In addition to 3D graphene sponge researchers are also involved in development of interlayer of graphitic nitride coated on carbon fibre.

Thereafter, many researchers have reported engineering of structure of graphene for further improvisation of electrochemical properties of Li–S batteries. In this context, nitrogen doped 3D graphene has also been used as host matrix for sulfur[10]. In addition, to the modification of the cathode, attempts have also been made to trap lithium polysulfides (LiPS) on the interlayer of graphitic carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄) coated on carbon fiber paper (CFP). The resulting asfabricated Li-S battery provided outstanding stability over 400 cycles with a reversible capacity of 1271 mAhg¹ at 0.1C. The capacity fading (only 0.068% per cycle) was found to be much lower than the self-supporting graphene sponges reported by Lu and co-workers[9].

Conducting polymers like polypyrrole (Ppy), polyaniline (PANI) are also widely used to fabricate yolk-shell type configuration. Conducting polymers helps to improve the electronic conductivity and also act as buffer to compensate the volume variation during charge-discharge[11].

Another approach at cathode side is insertion of graphene-based interlayer to reduce the dissolution of LiPS. Huge capacity retention could be observed in case of cells where interlayers have been introduced. It has been demonstrated by Su et al.[12] that sulfur cathode with high sulfur loading can show good cycling stability in presence of interlayers. Sulfur sandwiched between two graphene membrane is another suitable configuration. One graphene membrane with sulfur coating acts as current collector and other graphene layer was deposited on commercial separator. Sulfur loading on current collector graphene layer was around 70% of total weight. The cell with this configuration delivers specific capacity of 750 mAh/g at current density 6 A/g which is 50 times higher than that of cell using aluminium current collector and polymer separator[13]. It is important to mention that addition of conductive interlayer increases the overall performances of Li-S battery, however, the weight of the interlayer should be considered during calculation of weight of cathode. The dead mass of inactive component increases the overall weight of the cathode which leads to decreased sulfur content in the cathode. Hence, the dimension and density of the conductive interlayer should be selected judiciously.

Research on Cathode Materials

Mostly, researchers are involved in modification of cathode material with superior life cycle, higher capacity, higher loading of sulfur in lithium-sulfur battery. Relatively, lesser attention has been paid towards anode material of LSB. Metallic lithium is most suitable choice as anode material for LSB. However, the issues arising due to formation of dendrite, formation of SEI layer in first few cycles, volume variation, short cycle life etc. need to be addressed. There are three important aspects for stabilization of lithium anode: (1) stabilization of Lielectrolyte interface (2) a 3D lithium host (3) a lithiophilic lithium host. A brief introduction to other kind of anode, i.e. carbon and silicon has also been given.

The lithium anode reacts swiftly with organic electrolytes inside the cell, therefore, it needs to be passivated. In order to do that mostly research is done more extensively in electrolyte material. Most of the articles focus on variation of components of the electrolytes or addition of oxidizing agents like $LiNO_3$ in it[14–16].

In order to stabilize the interface between lithium anode and electrolyte, addition of lithium nitrate to ether-based electrolyte is found to be more effective in improving Coulombic efficiency and cycle life.LiNO₃ helps to form a passivation layer on the lithium anode, which prevents further reactions between lithium and polysulfides. However, addition of LiNO₃ does not have much effect in suppression of dendrite formation. Although it is very difficult to form sufficiently sturdy passivation layers on lithium anode in liquid electrolytes, a selfhealingelectrostatic shielding mechanism has been proposed by *Ding et al.* [17] In this work, additives like Cs/Rb has been used in the electrolyte which get easily reduced before Li ions get reduced to Li metal. These lead to lesser amount of dendrite formation during charge-discharge.

Another approach to modify the anode is introduction of mechanically stable artificial layers, such as polymer or solidstate blocking layers[18,19] to block the dendrite penetration. The interfacial layer suppresses the dendrite formation probability by reducing the activity of lithium anode. This also increases the coulombic efficiency. In this way, the dendrite is physically separated to avoid piercing the separator, however, the true nature of lithium anode could not be avoided.

Another approach is to use 3D lithium host instead of

planer lithium sheet. Generally, lithium dendrites grow on lithium surface due to inhomogeneous deposition of lithium ions on it. In this regard, 3D host copper is found to show promising behaviour in preventing dendrite growth[20].

Lithium anode undergoes volume variation due to lithium plating/stripping, and as a result of that the lithium surface gets pulverized after long cycling. SEI layer on the lithium surface can take care of the dimensional variation of the anode; however, during lithiation and delithiation the SEI layer breaks down which again form in the subsequent cycles. As a result of that electrolyte gets consumed continuously which also increases the possibility of dendrite growth. It would be beneficial to build a host matrix which has excellent lithium affinity (lithiophilicity) for metallic lithium. Lin et al. introduced molten lithium inside the rGO layers[21]. It has been observed that the surface of Li-rGO film does not change with lithium loading. The volume variation of the anode was also found to be relatively lower. They also observed that after long cycling, the cell with planer lithium anode shows a voltage drop due to short circuit whereas the cell with infused lithium in rGO shows better stability. Also, the voltage hysteresis arising in the cell where rGO-Li film has been used is found to be comparatively lower.

Several alternative anodes have been considered in recent past in place of pure lithium to reduce the activity of lithium. For example, Brückner et al.[22] demonstrated that a sufficiently stable Li-S cell could be fabricated using siliconcarbon (Si-C) composite as anode. It has been observed that when the cell is made with sulfur cathode and pristine lithium anode, the cell was able to achieve reversible cycling for 135 cycles at 167mAg¹. However, when the same cathode could not be cycled at higher current density which might be due to rapid electrolyte depletion and formation of unstable SEI layers. On the other hand, the cell with sulfur cathode and prelithiated Si-C shows stability for more than 1300 reversible cycles with high Coulombic efficiency nearly 99%. Pre-lithiated carbon is also used as anode in some cells which shows excellent cycle ability for more than 500 cycles. However, the pre-lithiated carbon has higher potential compared to pure lithium which decreases with overall energy density.

Research on Electrolyte Materials

Electrolyte is a very important part of Li-S battery as carbonate-based solvent is found to be inferior in this case. However, carbonate base solvent does not cause much problems in case of short chain sulfur cathode. In case of Li-S battery, it is observed that ether-based solvents are superior to carbonate based solvent as dissolution and redeposition of polysulfides is faster in ether based solvent which enables better sulfur utilization. However, the formation of polysulfides cannot be restricted using these ether-based electrolytes. For optimum performance of LSB there are two important aspects viz. electrolyte concentration and electrolyte amount (Electrolyte/Sulfur ratio)

Electrolyte concentration

Dissolution of lithium polysulfides in electrolyte is a serious problem which not only decreases the specific capacity but also reduces the Coulombic efficiency. It is expected that the solubility of LiPS will decrease in presence of highly concentrated electrolyte due to common ion effect. A study has been carried out by *Suo et al.*[23] to investigate the impact of concentration of electrolyte on electrochemical performance of cells. In their experiment, they used highly concentrated (7 mol/I) electrolyte and found limited dissolution of LIPS which results enhanced cycling stability. Also, they observed poor

Coulombic efficiency of the cell with low concentration of electrolyte. It is established now that highly concentrated electrolyte is beneficial for electrochemical performance; however, it comes with its own set of drawbacks. Firstly, highly concentrated electrolyte leads to higher viscosity and as a result of that the cell exhibit lower output voltage. Secondly, higher concentration also means higher unwanted weight of electrolyte which ultimately decreases the overall energy density. Third disadvantage is that the higher electrolyte concentration is not cost effective.

Electrolyte amount

It is very important to use optimum amount of electrolyte to get higher energy density. Lower amount of electrolyte ensures relatively lesser dissolution of LiPS which increases the cycle-life. However, lower amount of electrolyte results in sluggish migration of lithium ion due to poor wetting of separator. On the other hand, cells with higher E:S (electrolyte and sulfur) ratio leads to lower gravimetric and volumetric energy densities. However, cells with excess electrolyte can improve the overall cycle life because the electrolyte will get depleted quickly as the depletion of electrolyte is one of the main reasons for failure of cell. It has been established by *Zheng et al.*[24] that E/S ratio should be 20 μ l/mg (electrolyte

Solid or polymeric gels are also found to be suitable option to be used as electrolyte. Solid electrolyte is superior to liquid counterpart with respect to LiPS dissolution, thermal stability, mechanical stability, non-flammability etc. Mostly, compositions from Li₂S-P₂S₅ solution are chosen as electrolyte in all solid-state Li-S battery. *Nagata et al.*[25] have reported that a cell comprised of carbon/S composite as cathode, Li-In alloy as anode and Li₂S-P₂S₅ solid solution as electrolyte could be cycled for more than 100 cycles with capacity 1600 mAhg⁴.

Summary

The issues with Li-S battery have been partially sorted out so far; however, lot of works needs to be done before this technology becomes viable for large-scale application. Most Li-S batteries reported sofar contains cathode with relatively lower sulfur loadings and excess electrolyte. This leads to cells having lower energy density and power density which cannot outperform the existing state-of-the-art LIBs.

Novel conducting materials need to be searched for better electrochemical performance. Also, the parameters like sulfur loading, electrolyte to sulfur ratio need to be optimized to realize higher energy density in LSB. The research on overall engineering of Li-S battery packaging needs to be emphasized. In the anode side, more work should be focused towards suppression of dendrite formation, stabilization of SEI layer and improvement of safety of lithium anode.

References

[1] Grosjean, C.; Miranda, P. H.; Perrin, M.; Poggi, P. Assessment of World Lithium Resources and Consequences of Their Geographic Distribution on the Expected Development of the Electric Vehicle Industry. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., 2012, 16 (3), 1735–1744.

[2] Wang, L.; Zhao, Y.; Thomas, M. L.; Byon, H. R. In Situ Synthesis of Bipyramidal Sulfur with 3D Carbon Nanotube Framework for Lithium–Sulfur Batteries. Adv. Funct. Mater., 2014, 24 (15), 2248–2252.

[3] Sun, M.; Zhang, S.; Jiang, T.; Zhang, L.; Yu, J. Nano-Wire Networks of Sulfur–Polypyrrole Composite Cathode Materials for Rechargeable Lithium Batteries. Electrochem. Commun., 2008, 10 (12), 1819–1822.

[4] Greenwood, N. N.; Earnshaw, A. Chemistry of the Elements, Elsevier, 2012.

[5] Zhang, B.; Lai, C.; Zhou, Z.; Gao, X. P. Preparation and Electrochemical Properties of Sulfur–Acetylene Black Composites as Cathode Materials. Electrochimica Acta, 2009, 54 (14), 3708–3713.

[6] Liang, J.; Sun, Z.-H.; Li, F.; Cheng, H.-M. Carbon Materials for Li–S Batteries: Functional Evolution and Performance Improvement. Energy Storage Mater., 2016, 2, 76–106.

[7] Cheng, X.-B.; Huang, J.-Q.; Zhang, Q.; Peng, H.-J.; Zhao, M.-Q.; Wei, F. Aligned Carbon Nanotube/Sulfur Composite Cathodes with High Sulfur Content for Lithium–Sulfur Batteries. Nano Energy, 2014, 4,65–72.

[8] Papandrea, B.; Xu, X.; Xu, Y.; Chen, C.-Y.; Lin, Z.; Wang, G.; Luo, Y.; Liu, M.; Huang, Y.; Mai, L.; Duan, X. Three-Dimensional Graphene Framework with Ultra-High Sulfur Content for a Robust Lithium–Sulfur Battery. Nano Res., 2016, 9 (1), 240–248.

[9] Lu, S.; Chen, Y.; Wu, X.; Wang, Z.; Li, Y. Three-Dimensional Sulfur/Graphene Multifunctional Hybrid Sponges for Lithium-Sulfur Batteries with Large Areal Mass Loading. Sci. Rep., 2014, 4 (1), 4629.

[10] Wutthiprom, J.; Phattharasupakun, N.; Sawangphruk, M. Designing an Interlayer of Reduced Graphene Oxide Aerogel and Nitrogen-Rich Graphitic Carbon Nitride by a Layer-by-Layer Coating for High-Performance Lithium Sulfur Batteries. Carbon, 2018, 139, 945–953.

[11] Dutta Pathak, D.; Mandal, B. P.; Tyagi, A. K. A New Strategic Approach to Modify Electrode and Electrolyte for High Performance Li–S Battery. J. Power Sources, 2021, 488, 229456.

[12] Su, Y.-S.; Manthiram, A. Lithium–Sulphur Batteries with a Microporous Carbon Paper as a Bifunctional Interlayer. Nat. Commun., 2012, 3 (1), 1166.

[13] Zhou, G.; Pei, S.; Li, L.; Wang, D.-W.; Wang, S.; Huang, K.; Yin, L.-C.; Li, F.; Cheng, H.-M. A Graphene–Pure-Sulfur Sandwich Structure for Ultrafast, Long-Life Lithium–Sulfur Batteries. Adv. Mater., 2014, 26 (4), 625–631.

[14] Weng, W.; Pol, V. G.; Amine, K. Ultrasound Assisted Design of Sulfur/Carbon Cathodes with Partially Fluorinated Ether Electrolytes for Highly Efficient Li/S Batteries. Adv. Mater., 2013, 25 (11), 1608–1615.

[15] Li, W.; Yao, H.; Yan, K.; Zheng, G.; Liang, Z.; Chiang, Y.-M.; Cui, Y. The Synergetic Effect of Lithium Polysulfide and Lithium Nitrate to Prevent Lithium Dendrite Growth. Nat. Commun., 2015, 6 (1), 7436.

[16] Qian, J.; Henderson, W. A.; Xu, W.; Bhattacharya, P.; Engelhard, M.; Borodin, O.; Zhang, J.-G. High Rate and Stable Cycling of Lithium Metal Anode. Nat. Commun., 2015, 6 (1), 6362.

[17] Ding, F.; Xu, W.; Graff, G. L.; Zhang, J.; Sushko, M. L.; Chen, X.; Shao, Y.; Engelhard, M. H.; Nie, Z.; Xiao, J.; Liu, X.; Sushko, P. V.; Liu, J.; Zhang, J.-G. Dendrite-Free Lithium Deposition via Self-Healing Electrostatic Shield Mechanism. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135 (11), 4450–4456.

[18] Kang, I. S.; Lee, Y.-S.; Kim, D.-W. Improved Cycling Stability of Lithium Electrodes in Rechargeable Lithium Batteries. J. Electrochem. Soc., 2013, 161 (1), A53.

[19] Zheng, G.; Lee, S. W.; Liang, Z.; Lee, H.-W.; Yan, K.; Yao, H.; Wang, H.; Li, W.; Chu, S.; Cui, Y. Interconnected Hollow Carbon Nanospheres for Stable Lithium Metal Anodes. Nat. Nanotechnol., 2014, 9 (8), 618–623.

(20) Yang, C.-P.; Yin, Y.-X.; Zhang, S.-F.; Li, N.-W.; Guo, Y.-G. Accommodating Lithium into 3D Current Collectors with a Submicron Skeleton towards Long-Life Lithium Metal Anodes. Nat. Commun., 2015, 6 (1), 8058.

[21] Lin, D.; Liu, Y.; Liang, Z.; Lee, H.-W.; Sun, J.; Wang, H.; Yan, K.; Xie, J.; Cui, Y. Layered Reduced Graphene Oxide with Nanoscale Interlayer Gaps as a Stable Host for Lithium Metal Anodes. Nat. Nanotechnol.2016, 11(7), 626–632.

[22] Brückner, J.; Thieme, S.; Böttger-Hiller, F.; Bauer, I.; Grossmann, H. T.; Strubel, P.; Althues, H.; Spange, S.; Kaskel, S. Carbon-Based Anodes for Lithium Sulfur Full Cells with High Cycle Stability. Adv. Funct. Mater.2014, 24 (9), 1284–1289. [23] L. Suo, Y. S. Hu, H. Li, M. Armand, L. Chen, Nat. Commun. 2013, 4, 1481

[24] Zheng, J.; Lv, D.; Gu, M.; Wang, C.; Zhang, J.-G.; Liu, J.; Xiao, J. How to Obtain Reproducible Results for Lithium Sulfur Batteries? J. Electrochem. Soc.2013, 160 (11), A2288.

[25] Nagata, H.; Chikusa, Y. A Lithium Sulfur Battery with High Power Density. J. Power Sources 2014, 264, 206–210.