

**CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Club Building (Near Post Office)**  
**Old JNU Campus, New Delhi - 110067**  
**Tel: +91-11-26161796**

(32)

Decision No. CIC/SG/A/2011/003278/16984  
 Appeal No. CIC/SG/A/2011/003278

**Relevant Facts emerging from the Appeal**

Appellant : Mr. Akash M. Saraf  
 Centre for design and manufacture  
 Bhabha Atomic Research Centre  
 Trombay, Mumbai-400085, Maharashtra

Respondent : Mr. S. Goverdhan Rao  
 ✓ PIO & Head (Personnel)  
 Central Complex, IIIrd Floor,  
**Bhabha Atomic Research Center (BARC),**  
 Trombay, Mumbai - 400085.

RTI application filed on : 08/04/2011  
 PIO replied : 09/05/2011  
 First appeal filed on : 10/05/2011  
 First Appellate Authority order : Not Mentioned  
 Second Appeal received on : 17/11/2011

Sl.	Information Sought	Reply of the Public Information Officer (PIO)
1.	Certified copy of the written statement of Mr. Akash Saraf taken in the office of CVO and HPD, BARC.	Copy of the written Statement will be made available on payment of 14/- by way of Demand Draft or Pay order
2.	Details of Rules/Acts under which the said enquiry was conducted and present status	Vigilance Officer has powers to order investigation in any complaint received. The same is investigated in accordance with the provisions of the Central Vigilance Manual.
3.	Certified copy of the findings/enquiry reports	Reply: Copy of the Inquiry Report dated 18.12.2001, will be made available on payment of 60/- by DD.
4.	Certified copies of the action taken reports against the responsible person under the above enquiry	Director, E&IG. Director, I.&IG founders said charges baseless and desired that the matter may be treated as closed. Copies of communications, will be made available on payment of * 32/- by way of Demand Draft or Pay order.
5.	Due to no communication from the competent authority I submitted a representation to the Controller, BARC on 18/08/2002 to permit to raise the Issue before Central Vigilance Commissioner, pl. provide the information about action taken by the competent authority (Copy of the letter is enclosed herewith).	The matter was treated as closed. However, copies of related correspondence will be made available on payment of 8/- (@2/- per page for 4 Nos. of pages) by way of DD
6.	I want inspect the complete files related to the	You may inspect the file, at a mutually convenient

781

above said enquiry and subsequently to take Xerox copies of documents whichever require, as per the provisions of Act. date, in consultation with DEO(HRD&SR&W)/APIO, BARC.

**Grounds for the First Appeal:**

Incomplete and unsatisfactory information provided by the PIO.

**Order of the First Appellate Authority (FAA):**

Not Mentioned.

**Grounds for the Second Appeal:**

Incomplete and unsatisfactory information provided by the PIO and no reply from FAA.

**Relevant Facts emerging during Hearing:**

The following were present

**Appellant:** Absent;

**Respondent:** Mr. S. Goverdhan Rao, PIO & Head (Personnel) on video conference from NIC-Mumbai;

The Respondent states that he has provided all the information available on the records.

**Decision:**

The Appeal is disposed.

Information available appears to have been provided.

This decision is announced in open chamber.

Notice of this decision be given free of cost to the parties.

Any information in compliance with this Order will be provided free of cost as per Section 7(6) of RTI Act.



**Shailesh Gandhi**  
Information Commissioner  
20 January 2012

(In any correspondence on this decision, mention the complete decision number.)<sup>PRG</sup>